



LUND
UNIVERSITY

The Triangulated Auslander–Iyama Correspondence

Gustavo Jasso (Lund University)

Joint work with Fernando Muro (Universidad de Sevilla)



Reconstruction theorems



Setup and motivation

k : perfect field

\mathcal{T} : k -linear triangulated cat

- idempotent complete
- Hom-finite

$G \in \mathcal{T}$: (basic) classical generator

Aim: Reconstruct \mathcal{T} from G as a **triangulated** category

In general,

$$\mathcal{T} \neq D^c(\mathcal{T}(G, G))$$

and

$$\mathcal{T} \neq D^c(\mathcal{T}(G, G)^\bullet)$$

where

$$\mathcal{T}(G, G)^\bullet = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{T}(G, G[i])$$

Tautological solution via DG algebras

\mathcal{T} : algebraic triangulated category

Keller (1994) \exists A DG algebra s.t.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T} &\xrightarrow{\cong} D^c(A) \\ G &\longmapsto A\end{aligned}$$

and $H^\bullet(A) \cong \mathcal{T}(G, G)^\bullet$

Q: When is A unique up to qiso?

Meta Theorem

A, B : DG algs with $H^\bullet(A) \cong H^\bullet(B)$

$$(?) \implies A \simeq B \implies D^c(A) \simeq D^c(B)$$

Condition (?) should be

- of elementary character
- sufficiently general
- valid in interesting examples

$d\mathbb{Z}$ -cluster tilting objects ($d \geq 1$)

Suppose that A satisfies

1. $\varphi: A \cong A[d]$ in $D^c(A)$
2. $i \notin d\mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow H^i(A) = 0$

Then

$$H^\bullet(A) \cong \bigoplus_{d \in d\mathbb{Z}} \sigma^i \Lambda_1$$

where

$$\Lambda := H^0(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(a) = \varphi^{-1} a \varphi$$

Iyama–Yoshino (2008) Suppose now that $H^0(A)$ is finite-dimensional and

3. $M \in \text{add}(A) \Leftrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(A, M[k]) = 0$
 $M \in \text{add}(A) \Leftrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, A[k]) = 0$

for $0 < k < d$.

We say that $A \in D^c(A)$ is a **$d\mathbb{Z}$ -cluster tilting object** if it satisfies 1–3.

Rmk: $1\mathbb{Z}\text{-CT} \iff \text{add}(A) = D^c(A)$

... more on $d\mathbb{Z}$ -cluster tilting objects

$A \in D^c(A)$ $d\mathbb{Z}$ -cluster tilting

$\Lambda = H^0(A)$ basic finite-dimensional

Heller (1966), Freyd (1968), Geiß–Keller–Oppermann (2013)

Λ is a Frobenius algebra

Green–Snashall–Solberg (2003), Hanihara (2020)

Λ is **twisted $(d+2)$ -periodic** w.r.t. σ ,

$$\Omega_{\Lambda^e}^{d+2}(\Lambda) \simeq {}_1\Lambda_{\sigma} \quad \text{in } \underline{\text{mod}}(\Lambda^e)$$

The Triangulated Auslander–Iyama Correspondence

There are bijective correspondences between the following objects:

DG algebras A such that $A \in D^c(A)$ is $d\mathbb{Z}$ -cluster tilting
up to **quasi-isomorphism**

Pairs (\mathcal{T}, G) where $G \in \mathcal{T}$ is $d\mathbb{Z}$ -cluster tilting and \mathcal{T} is algebraic
up to **exact equivalence** preserving $\text{add}(\cdot)G$

Pairs (Λ, σ) where Λ is twisted $(d+2)$ -periodic w.r.t σ
up to **algebra isomorphism** preserving $[\sigma] \in \text{Out}(\Lambda)$

Rmk: The case $d = 1$, where $\text{add}(A) = D^c(A)$, is due to Muro

The Donovan–Wemyss Conjecture



Compound Du Val singularities

Reid (1983) $R \cong \mathbb{C}[[u, v, x, t]]/(f)$ is a **compound Du Val singularity (cDV)** if

$$f(u, v, x, t) = g(u, v, x) + t \cdot h(u, v, x, t)$$

and $g = 0$ is the equation of a Kleinian surface singularity, e.g.

$$g(u, v, x) = u^2 + v^2 + x^{n+1} \quad (\text{type } \mathbb{A}_n)$$

- Fundamental class of **3-dimensional** singularities
- Important in the Minimal Model Program for 3-folds

Today: Focus on case where

- R has isolated singularities
- $\text{Spec}(R)$ admits a **resolution**

Contraction algebras

$p: Y \rightarrow X = \text{Spec}(R)$ crepant resolution $F = p^{-1}(o)$ red exc fibre

$$\text{crepant: } p^*(\omega_X) = \omega_Y$$

resolution: Y is smooth and p is birational, isomorphism in $Y \setminus F$

$$\implies F = C_1 \cup \cdots \cup C_n \text{ is a tree of rational curves in } Y$$

Donovan–Wemyss (2013) The non-commutative deformations of

$$\mathcal{O}_{C_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{C_1} \in \text{coh}(Y)$$

are represented by a finite-dimensional algebra $\Lambda = \Lambda(p)$, the **contraction algebra**

The Classification Conjecture

$$p_1: Y_1 \rightarrow X_1 = \text{Spec}(R_1) \quad \text{and} \quad p_2: Y_2 \rightarrow X_2 = \text{Spec}(R_2)$$

crepant resolutions of isolated cDV singularities

$\Lambda_1 = \Lambda(p_1)$ and $\Lambda_2 = \Lambda(p_2)$ their contraction algebras

$$\text{Donovan–Wemyss (2013)} \quad D^c(\Lambda_1) \simeq D^c(\Lambda_2) \quad \stackrel{?}{\implies} \quad R_1 \cong R_2.$$

Wemyss (2018) + Dugas (2015) Different p 's yield **derived eq** contraction algebras

Contraction algebras via $2\mathbb{Z}$ -cluster tilting objects

R isolated cDV singularity with crepant resolution

$D_{\text{sg}}(R) = D^b(\text{mod } R)/D^c(R)$ singularity category

$\implies D_{\text{sg}}(R)$ is an algebraic \mathbb{C} -linear triangulated cat with split idempotents

Moreover, $D_{\text{sg}}(R)$ is 2-periodic: $[2] \cong \text{id}$

Wemyss (2018) The endomorphism algebras of $2\mathbb{Z}$ -cluster tilting objects in $D_{\text{sg}}(R)$ are precisely the contraction algebras of R

August (2020) The contraction algebras of R form a single and complete derived equivalence class of algebras

Proof of the Classification Conjecture

R isolated cDV singularity with crepant resolution

$\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(R)$ canonical DG enhancement of $D_{\text{sg}}(R)$

Hua–Keller (2018) The DG category $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(R)$ determines R up to isomorphism:

$$HH^0(\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(R)) \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}\langle\langle u, v, x, t \rangle\rangle}{\left(f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial v}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)}$$

is the Tyurina algebra of R , which determines R since we know $\dim R$

Triangulated Auslander–Iyama Correspondence $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(R)$ is uniquely determined as an **enhanced** triangulated category by **any** contraction algebra of R



LUND
UNIVERSITY

Extra: The key theorem

Λ twisted $(d+2)$ -periodic w.r.t. σ

$$\Lambda(\sigma, d) = \bigoplus_{di \in d\mathbb{Z}} \sigma^i \Lambda_1, \quad a \cdot b = \sigma^j(a)b, \quad |b| = dj$$

$$j^* : HH^{\bullet, d\star}(\Lambda(\sigma, d), \Lambda(\sigma, d)) \longrightarrow HH^{\bullet, d\star}(\Lambda, \Lambda(\sigma, d)) \cong \text{Ext}_{\Lambda^e}^{\bullet}(\Lambda, \sigma^{\star} \Lambda_1)$$

J–Muro There **exists** an essentially **unique** minimal A_{∞} -algebra structure

$$(\Lambda(\sigma, d), m_{d+2}, m_{2d+2}, m_{3d+2}, \dots)$$

such that $j^* \{m_{d+2}\} \in HH^{d+2, -d}(\Lambda, \Lambda(\sigma, d))$ is a unit in $\underline{HH}^{\bullet, \star}(\Lambda, \Lambda(\sigma, d))$